

**13th Annual Summer Public Health
Research Videoconference on Minority
Health**

**Racial Discrimination in the Coronary
Artery Risk Development in Young
Adults (CARDIA) Study**

Luisa N. Borrell, DDS, PhD
Columbia University
Department of Epidemiology
June 25th, 2007

Outline

- Introduction
- Physical and Mental Health in African Americans
 - Racial Discrimination
 - Skin color
- Substance use in African Americans and whites
- Implications for future research

MSPH

Columbia University

Introduction

- Disparities in health status between blacks (or African Americans) and whites are large, pervasive and persistent over time
 - Socioeconomic position
- Existing research reveals that
 - A substantial proportion of African Americans experience discrimination
 - Discrimination is adversely related to multiple indicators of health status including poorer self-rated physical and mental health, blood pressure and other cardiovascular outcomes as well as other health outcomes and related behaviors

MSPH

Columbia University

Self-reported health, perceived racial discrimination and skin color in African Americans

Borrell LN, Kiefe CI, Williams DR, Diez-Roux AV, Gordon-Larsen P.
Social Science & Medicine 2006;63(6):1415-27

MSPH

Columbia University

Background

- Racial discrimination has emerged as an important risk factor for the health of African Americans
- Evidence suggests that skin tone may be a marker for discrimination, with darker skinned blacks reporting higher levels of discrimination than their lighter skinned peers

MSPH

Columbia University

Aim of the Study

- To investigate the association of perception of racial discrimination and skin color with self-reported physical and mental health status in African Americans

MSPH

Columbia University

Source of the Data

■ The CARDIA study

- A prospective study of cardiovascular risk factors
- 5,115 African American and white adults 18-30 years of age recruited from 4 US urban areas in 1985-86, balanced on age, sex, race and education
- Re-examined every 2-5 years, retention for Year 15 (2000-01): 74%
- A sample of 1,722 African Americans

MSPH

Columbia University

Outcomes

■ Physical and Mental Health

- Data collected during Year 15
- SF-12: 12 questions representing two summary scores, the Physical Component Score (PCS) and the Mental Component Score (MCS)
- Scores on scale from 0 (worst) to 100 (best)
- Mean score for a healthy population is 50

MSPH

Columbia University

Exposures

■ Racial Discrimination

- Data collected during Year 15
- Self-reported experience of discrimination in 7 domains: at school, getting a job, getting housing, at work, at home, getting medical care, on the street or in a public setting
- Answers for 7 domains added for a summary score
- Range from 0 (no discrimination) to 21 (highest frequency/intensity of discrimination)

MSPH

Columbia University

Exposures...

■ Skin Color

- Data collected during Year 7 follow up examination
- By amber, blue, and green filters of a Photovolt 577 reflectance meter
- Values pertain to percentage reflected light
- Range from 0 (darkest) to 100 (lightest)

MSPH

Columbia University

Covariates

- Age
- Marital status
- Education (years and degrees)
- Family income

MSPH

Columbia University




Characteristics of the Study Population

Characteristics	Women (n=1016)	Men (n=706)
Education (%)		
Incomplete high school	6.6	9.7
Complete high school or GED	21.7	28.5
1-3 years of college	42.5	37.8
4 years of college	19.1	17.0
Some graduate or professional school	10.1	7.0**
Income (%)		
<\$12,000	11.4	10.3
\$12,000-\$15,999	5.5	5.1
\$16,000-\$24,999	10.8	7.0
\$25,000-\$34,999	12.9	13.0
\$35,000-\$49,999	18.6	18.4
\$50,000-\$74,999	20.9	19.7
\$75,000-\$99,999	11.6	13.8
≥\$100,000	8.2	12.7**

MSPH

**<0.01

Columbia University



Average change in physical and mental health score per unit increase in the racial discrimination summary score

Outcomes	Women		Men	
	β (95% CI)		β (95% CI)	
PCS	-0.16 (-.28, -.04)		-0.14 (-.27, -.00)	
MCS	-0.52 (-.67, -.37)		-0.29 (-.45, -.14)	

MSPH Columbia University

Average change in physical and mental health score per unit increase in the racial discrimination summary score

Outcomes	Women		Men	
	β (95% CI)	β Adjusted* (95% CI)	β (95% CI)	β Adjusted (95% CI)
PCS	-0.16 (-.28, -.04)	-0.25 (-.36, -.13)	-0.14 (-.27, -.00)	-0.13 (-.26, .002)
MCS	-0.52 (-.67, -.37)	-0.56 (-.71, -.41)	-0.29 (-.45, -.14)	-0.31 (-.46, -.16)

*Coefficients adjusted for age, income and education

MSPH Columbia University

Additional Results

- Skin color was not associated with physical or mental health

MSPH Columbia University

Additional Results...

- The association between discrimination and MCS varies with income among women
 - In women with income of less than \$16,000, between \$16,000 to \$34,999 and \$35,000 to \$74,999, a one unit increase in the discrimination scale was associated with a decrease of 0.77, 0.65 and 0.60 in the mean MCS score, respectively

MSPH

Columbia University

Limitations

- Cross-sectional data
- Self-reported nature of the data could lead to same-source bias
- Measurements of skin color were taken eight years before the discrimination and health assessment

MSPH

Columbia University

Conclusions

- Racial discrimination was reported in 75% of participants, but was more common in men than in women
- Racial discrimination was associated with worse physical and mental health in both men and women
 - These associations were stronger for women than for men

MSPH

Columbia University

Self-reported racial discrimination and substance use in the Coronary Artery Risk Development in Adults (CARDIA) study

Borrell LN, Jacobs DR, Williams DR, Pletcher MJ, Houston TJ, Kiefe CI. American Journal of Epidemiology (Article accepted for publication)

MSPH

Columbia University

Background

- Although the associations between racial discrimination and health outcomes have been reported consistently, the causal mechanism underlying these associations remains a challenge

MSPH

Columbia University

Aim of the Study

- To investigate the association of self-reported racial discrimination with cigarette smoking, alcohol consumption, and use of illicit substances among African American and white adults

MSPH

Columbia University

Methods

- **CARDIA Study**
 - African American (n=1507)
 - Whites (n=1822)
- **Outcomes**
 - Smoking status, alcohol consumption, and lifetime use of illicit substances (marijuana, cocaine, crack, heroin and speed) ascertained at Year 15
- **Racial Discrimination at Years 7 and 15**

MSPH

Columbia University


Covariates

- Age
- Sex
- Marital status
- Education (years and degrees)
- Family income
- Coping mechanisms for unfair treatment
- Control/mastery
- Anger
- Social network
- Social support

MSPH

Columbia University


Prevalence of racial discrimination by race/ethnicity



MSPH

Columbia University


Prevalence of racial discrimination by race/ethnicity



MSPH

Columbia University

Prevalence of substance use according to racial discrimination and race/ethnicity



MSPH

Columbia University

Limitations

- Self-reported nature of the data could lead to same-source bias
- The associations of racial discrimination with smoking, alcohol consumption and lifetime substance use were determined in cross-sectional and longitudinal analyses

MSPH

Columbia University

Conclusions

- Racial discrimination was:
 - More common in African Americans (89%) than in whites (38%) when queried 8 years apart
 - Associated with smoking, past year alcohol consumption and lifetime use of marijuana and cocaine in both racial/ethnic groups

MSPH

Columbia University

Implications for Future Research

- Our findings suggest:
 - We need to move beyond reporting the effect of self-reported perceived racial discrimination on health outcomes
 - We should focus on the etiologic mechanisms, upstream and downstream, by which racial discrimination may exert its effects on health

MSPH

Columbia University

Acknowledgements

- This work was supported by:
 - National Institutes of Health (NHLBI & NIDCR)
 - Robert Wood Johnson Health and Society Scholars Program
 - We thank the staff and participants in the CARDIA study for their important contributions

MSPH

Columbia University